LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

A Comment on Oxygen Mobility During Catalytic Oxidation

Recently, Keulks (1) and Wragg, Ashmore and Hockey (2) found a large mobility for the bulk oxygen of a Bi-Mo-0 catalyst during the oxidation of propylene. Although no exchange of gaseous oxygen with this mixed oxide was observed at the temperature of catalytic oxidation, the products of the oxidation of propylene with highly enriched 18 O oxygen contained a very small fraction of ¹⁸O atoms. The authors agreed in conclusion that not only oxide ions in the surface layer participate in the reaction but also oxide ions in deep subsurface layers. The gaseous oxygen once adsorbed undergoes a very rapid diffusion into the bulk.

We tried to make the same experiments for the oxidation of methanol with $^{18}O_2$ on a mixed oxide of the type Fe-Mo-0 and on pure $MoO₃$, where the participation of lattice oxygen is commonly supposed [e.g., $(3, 4)$]. Both oxides exchange their bulk oxygen with $^{18}O_2$ gas at temperatures above 500° C $(5, 6)$. The temperature of catalytic oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde was found to be $100-300^{\circ}$ C on the Fe-Mo-O catalyst and $300-450^{\circ}\text{C}$ on MoO_3 (7).

In agreement with the results of Keulks (1) and of Wragg, Ashmore and Hockey (2) we found no change of the isotopic composition of gaseous 60 atom $\%$ ¹⁸O oxygen during the oxidation of methanol on $MoO₃$ at 440° C and on the Fe-Mo-O catalyst at 200°C. In our experimental conditions allowing the determination of an enrichment of at least 5% of 18 O in formaldehyde, no such enrichment was observed, but a fraction of $H_2^{18}O$ appeared. This H,180 amount was higher for MOO, at 440° C than for Fe-Mo-O at 200° C, corresponding to several surface layers of oxygen in the first oxide and more in the second one.

Owing to the observed 18 O enrichment of water we examined in more detail the exchange of H_2 ¹⁸O with MoO₃ and the Fe-MO-O catalyst. One gram of sample [described in (7) was previously heated in a vacuum of 1O-5 Torr to 450°C and then kept at 10 Torr of oxygen $^{16}O_2$ at 450° C overnight. Oxygen was pumped off at room temperature. The exchange of 3.2 Torr 70% $H₂¹⁸O$ in a volume of 750 cm³ was measured directly with a mass-spectrometer (8) . The results are shown in Fig. 1. In 100 min the following percentages were observed of exchange of the whole of the oxygen in the oxide samples used: for $MoO₃$ at $440°C$, 0.4%; for Fe-Mo-O at 20° C, 0.8%; and for Fe-Mo-O at 200° C, 3% . When the temperature during the exchange on Fe-Ma-0 was increased from 20 to 42O"C, the amount of bulk oxygen exchanged increased after 40 min to almost 10%. The surface area of Fe-MO-O was about three times larger than that of $MoO₃$ (6.9 and 2.6 m²/g, respectively). There is a large difference in temperature and amount of lattice oxygen exchanged with $H_2^{18}O$ for MoO_3 and Fe-Mo-O in contrast to the exchange of $^{18}O_2$ gas, where no such differences between $MoO₃$ and Fe-Mo-O were observed.* The exchange with $H_2^{18}O$ proceeds quickly at the temperature of catalytic oxidation on

* The rapid exchange of $H_2^{18}O$ in comparison with '80 gas has been known from the first papers dealing with 18 O exchange (9).

Copyright @ 1972 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

FIG. 1. $H_2^{18}O$ vapor exchange with MoO₃ and Fe-Mo-O catalyst as a function of time: (1) MoO₃ at 440°C; (2) Fe-MO-0 catalyst at 20°C; (3) Fe-MO-0 catalyst continued at 420°C; (4) Fe-MO-0 catalyst at 200°C.

of oxygen ions during the catalytic oxida- elsewhere. tion of methanol on Fe-Mo-O might be explained by the rapid exchange of H_2O released. Also the difference of the temperature of catalytic oxidation on $MoO₃$ and Fe-Mo-O catalyst might be connected with the different ability of H_2O exchange with these oxides.

We followed also the exchange of $H_2^{18}O$ with an industrial Bi-Mo-0 catalyst for the selective oxidation of propylene to acrolein. The exchange was found to proceed at room temperature, too, being very rapid at 200° C. For this reason it is possible to explain the results of Keulks (1) 21, 142 (1971).
and of Wragg Ashmora and Hockey (2) 8. Jint, P., AND NovAKOVA, J., Collect. Czech. and of Wragg, Ashmore and Hockey (2) a. JHC, P., AND NOVAKOVA, J., Contains the latter metallity of bulls are Chem. Commun. 28, 1 (1963). not only by the large mobility of bulk ox-
 $\begin{array}{cc}$ Chem. Commun. 28, 1 (1963).
 \end{array} (1970). ygen during the catalytic oxidation, but also by a rapid exchange of bulk oxygen J. Nováková with H_z¹⁸O released. If the amount of ¹⁸O **P.** Jf $\hat{\textbf{R}}$ during the oxidation were the same in water and carbon oxidation products, the possibility of oxidation of carbon species via Máchova 7, Prague 2, Czechoslovakia water could not be excluded. Received February 25, 1972

Fe-MO-0 catalyst. Therefore the mobility More detailed results will be published

REFERENCES

- 1. KEULKS, G. W., J. Catal. 19, 232 (1970).
- \overline{a} WRAGG, R. D., ASHMORE, P. G., AND HOCKEY, J. A., J. Catal. 22, 49 (1971).
- Jírů, P., WICHTERLOVÁ, B., AND TICHÝ, J., Proc.
Jat. Conom. Cotal. 2nd. 1061, 1.100 (1065) Int. Congr. Catal., 3rd, 1964 1, 199 (1965).
- $4.$ PERNICONE, N., LIBERTI, G., AND ERSINI, L., $Int.$ Congr. Catal., 4th, prepr. no. 21, Moscow (1968).
- 5. Nováková, J., AND Jirů, P., Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 29, 1114 (1964).
- 6. BORESKOV, G. K., Kinet. Katal. 8, 1020 (1967).
- 7. Nováková, J., Jírů, P., AND ZAVADIL, V., J. $\mathcal{C}atal.$ 21, 142 (1971).
-
-

Institute of Physical Chemistry

Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences